


Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/732,299	BROEDERS ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Thomas M. Lithgow	1724	

All Participants:	Status of Application: _____
(1) <u>Thomas M. Lithgow.</u>	(3) _____.
(2) <u>Mr. Sopko.</u>	(4) _____.
Date of Interview: <u>29 November 2005</u>	Time: _____
Type of Interview:	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Telephonic	
<input type="checkbox"/> Video Conference	
<input type="checkbox"/> Personal (Copy given to: <input type="checkbox"/> Applicant <input type="checkbox"/> Applicant's representative)	
Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	
If Yes, provide a brief description: _____	
Part I.	
Rejection(s) discussed:	
<i>none</i>	
Claims discussed:	
<i>14 and 18</i>	
Prior art documents discussed:	
<i>none</i>	
Part II.	
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:	
<i>Correct the multiple dependent claim language from "and" to --or-- in claims 14 and 18. See Ex's Amendment.</i>	
Part III.	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.	
<input type="checkbox"/> It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.	

 THOMAS M. LITHGOW PRIMARY EXAMINER 09/15/05 1724	_____ (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)
--	--